

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,243	01/22/2002	Maurice Israel	033532-001	8007	
75	90 03/21/2003				
Norman H. Stepno. Esquire BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			EXAMINER		
			MCINTOSH III, TRAVISS C 5		
Alexandria, VA	. 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1623		
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003		
)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a a		FileCopy				
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/051,243	ISRAEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Traviss C McIntosh	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears n the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply vill f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cannot reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No.	ovember 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepte	ed or b)⊡ objected to by the Exan	niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicatio	n No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, drawn to a method for treating and/or preventing glutamate-evoked cytotoxicity comprising administering a beta-naphthoquinone derivative.

Group II, claim(s) 5 and 6, drawn to a method for modulating the release of glutamate comprising administering a beta-naphthoquinone derivative.

Group III, claim(s) 7 and 8, drawn to a method for inhibiting the release of glutamate comprising administering a beta-naphthoquinone derivative.

Group IV, claim(s) 9-11, drawn to a method for treating and/or preventing disease and/or condition associated with the excessive release of glutamate comprising administering a betanaphthoquinone derivative.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature linking the groups appears to be that they all relate to the administration of a beta-naphthoquinone derivative.

However, Blache et al. (US Patent 5,523,322) teach of beta-naphthoquinone derivatives.

Therefor the special technical feature linking the inventions of groups I-IV does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

The special technical feature of Group I is considered to be a method for treating and/or preventing glutamate-evoked cytotoxicity comprising administering a beta-naphthoquinone derivative.

Art Unit: 1623

The special technical feature of Group II is considered to be a method for modulating the release of glutamate comprising administering a beta-naphthoquinone derivative.

The special technical feature of Group III is considered to be a method for inhibiting the release of glutamate comprising administering a beta-naphthoquinone derivative.

The special technical feature of Group IV is considered to be a method for treating and/or preventing disease and/or condition associated with the excessive release of glutamate comprising administering a beta-naphthoquinone derivative.

Accordingly, groups I-IV are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A1: Derivatives represented by the formula (I) classified in class 564/34+.

A2: Derivatives represented by the formula (II) classified in class 536/17.9+.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1623

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: all claims are drawn to method of treating a patient using either of the two distinct species.
- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of species A1 appears to be the compound of formula (I) which is different than the special technical feature of species A2, which appears to be the compound of formula (II).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traviss C McIntosh whose telephone number is 703-308-9479. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 703-308-4624. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1623

Page 5

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Traviss C. McIntosh March 18, 2003 James O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1623